



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/702,130

11/05/2003

Hiroshi Kurachi

791\_230

6835

25191 7590 05/08/2008

BURR & BROWN

PO BOX 7068

SYRACUSE, NY 13261-7068

EXAMINER

OLSEN, KAJ K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1795

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/08/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/702,130	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KURACHI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> KAJ K. OLSEN	<b>Art Unit</b> 1795	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KAJ K. OLSEN. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Joe Wilson. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 30 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: proposed claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Neumann (i.e. WO '302).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative faxed the examiner a proposed claim amendment specifying that the opening section was completely surrounded by at least one layer of the gas sensor. The examiner felt that said proposed amendment appeared to read free of Neumann.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kaj K Olsen/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required